REMARKS

Claims 20, 21, 24, 26, and 34-37 are pending in the present application.

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed October 16,

2009. In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings, rejected

claims 25 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and claims 20, 21, 24,

26, and 34-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Applicant has canceled 35 and 37, and amended claims 20, 21, 24, 26,

and 34-37. Reconsideration in light of the amendments and remarks made

herein is respectfully requested.

OBJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a)

In the Office Action, the drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

The Examiner stated, "[T]he drawings must show every feature of the invention

Therefore, the "section input information indicating specified in the claims.

whether a surface of a document is slippery" must be shown or the feature(s)

canceled from the claim(s). In response, the Examiner has canceled claims 35

and 37. The objection is now moot. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests

the objection be withdrawn.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 25 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first

paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, has possession of

the claimed invention.

-6-

Claims 25 and 27 were canceled in the previous response(s) and therefore the rejection is moot. Applicant respectfully requests the rejection be withdrawn.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected, under U.S.C. § 103(a): (1) claims 20, 21, and 24, as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,852,501 issued to Maehara ("Maehara") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,928 issued to Toyomura ("Toyomura") further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,201,944 issued to Onuki ("Onuki"), (2) claim 26 as being unpatentable over Maehara in view of Toyomura, (3) claim 34 as being unpatentable over Maehara in view of Toyomura further in view of Onuki further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0038989 filed by Yokota ("Yokota"), (4) claim 35 as being unpatentable over Maehara in view of Toyomura further in view of Onuki further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,708,953 issued to Morigami ("Morigami"), (5) claim 36 as being unpatentable over Maehara in view of Toyomura further in view of Yokota, and (6) claim 37 as being unpatentable over Maehara in view of Toyomura further in view of Morigami. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections for the following reasons.

Maehara discloses an image reader with an automatic document feeding (ADF) unit (Abstract), a document tray (element 13), etc. Maehara, however, does not disclose a separation control section for controlling timing of starting a separating operation of a next document in the separating section based on whether a leading edge of the next document is detected but a trailing edge of a preceding document is not detected from the sensor.

Toyomura discloses that the initial setting value of the transport speed is

Attorney Docket: CFA00077US

set to a reading speed for the monochrome image copy and that the transport speed is reduced if the judgment result of the copy attribution by the CPU indicates the color image copy. In other words, Toyomura discloses that the initial value is set to a reading speed for reading monochrome image copy and it reduces to a lower speed if it is determined that the image copy to be read is a color image color (Col. 10, lines 33-55). Toyomura discloses the control of a transport speed depending on the type image copy (i.e., monochrome or color). Toyomura further discloses that when the leading edge of the copy transported is detected by the copy edge detection sensor, the transmitting of the drive force to the transport roller and the reverse roller is stopped... The leading edge of the copy is used for a start timing of the reading of an image... when the trailing edge of the copy is detected by the sensor and the sensor detects a next copy ... the transport roller and the reverse roller is rotated to start the transportation of the next copy set on the copy tray. However, unlike the present invention, Toyomura does not disclose a separation control section for controlling timing of starting a separating operation of a next document in the separating section based on whether a leading edge of the next document is detected but a trailing edge of a preceding document is not detected from the sensor section. In other words, Toyomura only discloses the case when both the trailing edge of the preceding copy and the leading edge of the next copy are detected, then the transport roller and the reverse roller are rotated to start the transportation. However, unlike the present invention, <u>Toyomura</u> does not disclose what to do in the case when the leading edge of the following document has been detected but

Amendment for Application No.: 10/821348

Attorney Docket: CFA00077US

the trailing edge of the preceding document has not been detected.

Onuki discloses an operation panel which composes a copy start key, a

numeric keypad, and various input keys including a manual mode switch key for

selecting the monochrome copy mode or the color copy mode and an auto mode

switch key for automatically selecting the monochrome copy mode or the color

copy mode depending on whether a read document is a color document or not

(Col. 8, lines 48-63). No where in Onuki that discloses a separation control

section for controlling timing of starting a separating operation of a next

document in the separating section based on whether a leading edge of the next

document is detected but a trailing edge of a preceding document is not detected

from the sensor section.

Yokota discloses that in a sheet original feeding portion, there are

disposed an original feed detecting sensor and an original edge detecting sensor.

The original edge detecting sensor is adapted to detect the passage of the

leading edge and trailing edge of the sheet original. The detection signals are

adapted to be used for the control of the timing of reading.

Morigami may have disclosed a section input information indicating

whether a surface of a document is slippery or not. However, no where in

Morigami that discloses a separating control section for controlling timing of

starting of a separating operation wherein the timing of starting an operation is

later if it is determined that the information inputted on the material of the

document is predetermined information.

Maehara, Toyomura, Onuki, Yokota, and Morigami, taken alone or in any

combination, do not disclose, suggest, or render obvious a separation control

section for controlling timing of starting a separating operation of a next

document in the separating section based on whether a leading edge of the next

-9-

Reply under 37 CFR 1.116 - Expedited Procedure – Art Unit: 2625

Amendment for Application No.: 10/821348

Attorney Docket: CFA00077US

document is detected but a trailing edge of a preceding document is not detected

from the sensor section. This aspect of the invention is supported in the

specification on paragraph 67 and is recited in amended claims 20 and 26.

Therefore, Applicant believes that independent claims 20, 26 and their

respective dependent claims are distinguishable over the cited prior art

references. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the rejections under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

-10-

Reply under 37 CFR 1.116 - Expedited Procedure – Art Unit: 2625

Amendment for Application No.: 10/821348

Attorney Docket: CFA00077US

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims pending in the

application meet the requirements for patentability and respectfully requests that

the Examiner indicate the allowance of such claims.

Any amendments to the claims which have been made in this response

which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon prior

art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to

patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number

502456.

Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner may contact

Applicant's representative at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

January 15, 2010

/Caroline Do/

Date

Caroline Do, Reg. No. 47,529

Patent Attorney for Applicant

Canon U.S.A. Inc., Intellectual Property Division

15975 Alton Parkway

Irvine, CA 92618-3731

Telephone: (949) 932-3135

Fax:

(949) 932-3560

-11-